

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ISMAEL REYES-RIVAS [3],

Defendant.

CRIMINAL NO. 23-157 (ADC)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(B) GUILTY PLEA HEARING

I. Procedural Background

On August 24, 2023, defendant Ismael Reyes-Rivas was charged in a forty-count indictment. He agrees to plead guilty to Counts One, Two, Three, Six, Eight, Ten, Twelve, Fourteen, Sixteen, Eighteen, Nineteen, Twenty-One, Twenty-Three, Twenty-Five, Twenty-Seven, Twenty-Nine, Thirty-One, Thirty-Three, Thirty-Five, Thirty-Seven and Thirty-Nine.

Count One charges that on or about March 4, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2019 Toyota Yaris (license plate JGF-536), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Two charges that on or about March 4, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this Court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, did knowingly possess a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, namely, a carjacking as charged in Count One, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(ii) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Three charges that on or about March 4, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a white 2016 Ford Edge (license plate IPS-497), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Six charges that on or about January 31, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2021 Hyundai Venue (license plate JSY-983), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Eight charges that on or about February 5, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2022 Ford Bronco (license plate KAM-444), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Ten charges that on or about February 10, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2022 Ford F-150 XLT (license plate 1116945), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Twelve charges that on or about February 13, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a white 2021 Dodge Ram (license plate 1063515), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Fourteen charges that on or about February 18, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2017 Jeep Wrangler Unlimited Sport (license plate IWD-253), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Sixteen charges that on or about February 22, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2009 Toyota Tacoma (license plate 861456), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Eighteen charges that on or about February 24, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did attempt to take a motor vehicle, that is, a white 2017 Nissan NV 200 (license plate 970156), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

Count Nineteen charges that on or about February 24, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a white 2022 Hyundai Kona (license plate JZF-240), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Twenty-One charges that on or about February 24, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2017 Hyundai Tucson (license plate ITV-161), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Twenty-Three charges that on or about February 27, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2023 Honda HR-V (license plate JHQ-510), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Twenty-Five charges that on or about February 28, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2015 Toyota Corolla (license plate IMX-524), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1)

**USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]
Cr. 23-157 (ADC)
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA**

and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Twenty-Seven charges that on or about February 28, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2013 Toyota Tacoma (license plate 923441), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Twenty-Nine charges that on or about March 6, 2023, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, Mr. Reyes-Rivas, aiding and abetting and being aided and abetted by others, with the intent to cause death and serious bodily harm, did take a motor vehicle, that is, a grey 2021 Ford F-150 (license plate 1087681), a vehicle that had been transported, shipped and received in interstate and foreign commerce, from the person or presence of a victim, by force, violence and intimidation. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2119(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count Thirty-One charges that on or about January 31, 2023, Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others, aided and abetted by each other, did unlawfully obstruct, delay, and affect commerce, by robbery as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1), in that Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others did unlawfully take approximately \$233.00 USD, a Samsung tablet, and Oreo cookies, from the Burger King in Canovanas, Puerto Rico in the presence of an employee, against their will by means threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future to their person in order to commit the robbery, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951 and 2.

Count Thirty-Three charges that on or about February 2, 2023, Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others, aided and abetted by each other, did unlawfully obstruct, delay, and affect commerce, by robbery as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1), in that Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others did unlawfully take approximately \$1,049 USD, from the Burger King in

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

Rexville (Bayamon), Puerto Rico in the presence of an employee, against their will by means threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future to their person in order to commit the robbery, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951 and 2.

Count Thirty-Five charges that on or about February 5, 2023, Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others, aided and abetted by each other, did unlawfully obstruct, delay, and affect commerce, by robbery as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1), in that Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others did unlawfully take approximately \$1,200 USD and approximately 70 boxes of cigarettes, from the Shell in Magnolia Gardens, Puerto Rico in the presence of an employee, against their will by means threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future to their person in order to commit the robbery, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951 and 2.

Count Thirty-Seven charges that on or about February 17, 2023, Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others, aided and abetted by each other, did unlawfully obstruct, delay, and affect commerce, by robbery as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1), in that Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others did unlawfully take approximately \$70 USD, from the Burger King in Canovanas, Puerto Rico in the presence of an employee, against their will by means threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future to their person in order to commit the robbery, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951 and 2.

Count Thirty-Nine charges that on or about March 2, 2023, Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others, aided and abetted by each other, did unlawfully obstruct, delay, and affect commerce, by robbery as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(b)(1), in that Mr. Reyes-Rivas and others did unlawfully take approximately \$400.00 USD and electronic cigarettes, from the Shell in Magnolia Gardens, Puerto Rico in the presence of an employee, against their will by means threatened force, violence, and fear of injury, immediate and future to their person in order to commit the robbery, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951 and 2.

Defendant appeared before me on June 18, 2024, since the Rule 11 hearing was referred by the court. See United States v. Woodard, 387 F.3d 1329 (11th Cir. 2004) (magistrate judge

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

had authority to conduct Rule 11 guilty plea hearing with consent of defendant). He was advised of the purpose of the hearing and placed under oath with instructions that his answers must be truthful lest he would subject himself to possible charges of perjury or making a false statement.

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

Defendant was advised of his right to hold all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, before a district court judge. He received an explanation of the differences between the scope of jurisdiction and functions of a district judge and a magistrate judge. He was informed that if he elects to proceed before a magistrate judge, then the magistrate judge will conduct the hearing and prepare a report and recommendation, subject to review and approval of the district judge, advising how to proceed. The defendant then voluntarily consented to proceed before a magistrate judge.

III. Proceedings Under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, the guilty plea must be knowing and voluntary. United States v. Hernandez-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999). "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). There are three core concerns in a Rule 11 proceeding: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244 (1st Cir. 1991)).

A. Competence to Enter a Guilty Plea

This magistrate judge questioned the defendant about his age, education, employment, history of any treatment for mental illness or addiction, use of any medication, drugs, or alcohol, and his understanding of the purpose of the hearing, all in order to ascertain his capacity to understand, answer, and comprehend the change of plea colloquy. The court confirmed that the

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]
Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

defendant received the indictment and fully discussed the charges with his counsel and was satisfied with the advice and representation he received. The court further inquired whether defendant's counsel or counsel for the government had any doubt as to his capacity to plead, receiving answers from both that the defendant was competent to enter a plea. After considering the defendant's responses, and observing his demeanor, a finding was made that Mr. Reyes-Rivas was competent to plead and fully aware of the purpose of the hearing.

B. Maximum Penalties

Upon questioning, the defendant expressed his understanding of the maximum penalties prescribed by statute for the offenses to which he was pleading guilty, namely: as to each of Counts One, Three, Six, Eight, Ten, Twelve, Fourteen, Sixteen, Eighteen, Nineteen, Twenty-One, Twenty-Three, Twenty-Five, Twenty-Seven and Twenty-Nine, a term of imprisonment of up to fifteen years, a fine of not more than \$250,000, or both, and a supervised release term of up to three years, and as to each of Counts Thirty-One, Thirty-Three, Thirty-Five, Thirty-Seven and Thirty-Nine, a term of imprisonment of up to twenty years, a fine of not more than \$250,000, or both, and a supervised release term of up to three years. Further, the defendant expressed his understanding that Count Two carries a minimum consecutive prison term of five years up to life imprisonment, a fine of not more than \$250,000, or both, and a supervised release term of up to five years. A Special Monetary Assessment of \$100.00 per count, totaling \$2100.00, will also be imposed, to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013(a).

The court explained the nature of supervised release and the consequences of revocation. The court may also impose a forfeiture and restitution order. The defendant indicated that he understood the maximum penalties and the potential consequences of the guilty plea.

C. Plea Agreement

Mr. Reyes-Rivas was shown his plea agreement, and the plea agreement supplement, which are part of the record, and identified his initials and signatures. He confirmed that he had the opportunity to read and discuss the plea agreement with his attorney before he signed it, that

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

it represented the entirety of his understanding with the government, that he understood its terms, and that no one had made any other or different promises or assurances to induce him to plead guilty.

The defendant was then admonished, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), and expressed his understanding, that the terms of the plea agreement are merely recommendations to the court, and that the district judge who will preside over the sentencing hearing can reject the recommendation without permitting the defendant withdraw his guilty plea, and impose a sentence that is more severe than the defendant might anticipate.

The parties' sentencing calculations and recommendations appear in the Plea Agreement, and were explained in open court. The defendant confirmed that these were the sentencing recommendations he agreed to with the government. The defendant was specifically informed that any sentencing calculations contained in the Plea Agreement were not binding for the sentencing court, but were only estimates of possible terms of his sentence, and that the court, after considering the applicable Sentencing Guidelines, could impose a sentence different from any estimate in the Plea Agreement or provided by his attorney, and that the court had authority to impose a sentence that is more severe or less severe than the sentence called for by the Sentencing Guidelines. The defendant was advised, and understood, that the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and are thus considered advisory, and that during sentencing the court will consider the sentencing criteria found at Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).

The defendant was advised that under some circumstances he or the government may have the right to appeal the sentence the court imposes. The defendant was further informed, and professed to understand, that the Plea Agreement contains a waiver of appeal provision under which the defendant agreed to waive his right to appeal the judgment and sentence if the court accepts the Plea Agreement and sentences him according to its terms and conditions.

D. Waiver of Constitutional Rights

The defendant was specifically advised that he has the right to persist in a plea of not

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

guilty, and if he does so persist that he has the right to a speedy and public trial by jury, or before a judge sitting without a jury if the court and the government so agree; that at trial he would be presumed innocent and the government would have to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt; that he would have the right to assistance of counsel for his defense, and if he could not afford an attorney the court would appoint one to represent him throughout all stages of the proceedings; that at trial he would have the right to hear and cross examine the government's witnesses, the right to decline to testify unless he voluntarily elected to do so, and the right to the issuance of subpoenas or compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify on his behalf. He was further informed that if he decided not to testify or put on evidence at trial, his failure to do so could not be used against him, and that at trial the jury must return a unanimous verdict before he could be found guilty.

The defendant specifically acknowledged understanding these rights, and understanding that by entering a plea of guilty there would be no trial and he will be waiving or giving up the rights that the court explained. The defendant's attorney attested that he explained these rights to his client and believed that the defendant understood his explanations.

The defendant was informed that parole has been abolished and that any sentence of imprisonment must be served. Defendant was additionally informed that a pre-sentence report would be prepared and considered by the district judge at sentencing. Defendant was further admonished that his guilty plea, if accepted, may deprive him of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, to hold public office, to serve on a jury, and to possess a firearm. The defendant confirmed that he understood these consequences of his guilty plea.

E. Factual Basis for the Guilty Plea

Defendant was read in open court Counts One, Two, Three, Six, Eight, Ten, Twelve, Fourteen, Sixteen, Eighteen, Nineteen, Twenty-One, Twenty-Three, Twenty-Five, Twenty-Seven, Twenty-Nine, Thirty-One, Thirty-Three, Thirty-Five, Thirty-Seven and Thirty-Nine of the indictment and provided an explanation of the elements of the offense. The meaning of terms used in the indictment was explained. Upon questioning, the government presented to this

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]

Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

magistrate judge and to defendant a summary of the basis in fact for the offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Six, Eight, Ten, Twelve, Fourteen, Sixteen, Eighteen, Nineteen, Twenty-One, Twenty-Three, Twenty-Five, Twenty-Seven, Twenty-Nine, Thirty-One, Thirty-Three, Thirty-Five, Thirty-Seven and Thirty-Nine and the evidence the government had available to establish, in the event defendant elected to go to trial, the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant was able to understand this explanation and agreed with the government's submission as to the evidence which could have been presented at trial.

F. Voluntariness

The defendant indicated that he was not being induced to plead guilty, but was entering such a plea freely and voluntarily because in fact he is guilty, and that no one had threatened him or offered him a thing of value in exchange for his plea. He acknowledged that no one had made any different or other promises in exchange for his guilty plea, other than the recommendations set forth in the Plea Agreement. Throughout the hearing the defendant was able to consult with his attorney.

IV. Conclusion

The defendant, by consent, appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and entered a plea of guilty as to Counts One, Two, Three, Six, Eight, Ten, Twelve, Fourteen, Sixteen, Eighteen, Nineteen, Twenty-One, Twenty-Three, Twenty-Five, Twenty-Seven, Twenty-Nine, Thirty-One, Thirty-Three, Thirty-Five, Thirty-Seven and Thirty-Nine of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, I find that the defendant, Ismael Reyes-Rivas, is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offenses charged and the maximum statutory penalties that they carry, understands that the charges are supported by evidence and a basis in fact, has admitted to the elements of the offenses, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea. Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea and that the defendant be adjudged

USA v. Ismael Reyes-Rivas [3]
Cr. 23-157 (ADC)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

guilty as to Counts One, Two, Three, Six, Eight, Ten, Twelve, Fourteen, Sixteen, Eighteen, Nineteen, Twenty-One, Twenty-Three, Twenty-Five, Twenty-Seven, Twenty-Nine, Thirty-One, Thirty-Three, Thirty-Five, Thirty-Seven and Thirty-Nine of the indictment.

This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of this Court. Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of its receipt. Failure to file timely and specific objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986).

A sentencing hearing will be set before District Judge Aida Delgado-Colon.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 2nd day of July, 2024.

s/Bruce J. McGiverin
BRUCE J. McGIVERIN
United States Magistrate Judge